



## **Rating Report**

**Safa Investment Company**

**(Single Person Limited Liability Company)**

**September 2025**

## **RELATED CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY**

Rating Methodology for Corporate (v.2. 2019) can be found on the website:

[www.tassnief.com](http://www.tassnief.com)

## **DISCLAIMER:**

- TASSNIEF has conducted the exercise based on its approved Rating Methodologies and Policies in order to derive the credit rating opinion on Safa Investment Company. The credit ratings and observations contained herein are solely statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold or sell any securities or make any other investment decisions.
- All analyses related to the rating report are merely opinions of TASSNIEF on the rating date.
- TASSNIEF is not legally obliged for any losses or damages resulted by errors received in TASSNIEF's information, since the rating was based on what the rated client has provided or what is available from third parties.
- The analyses and forecasts in this rating report are inherently forward-looking and cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.
- This rating has not been amended following disclosure to the rated entity or its related party(ies). The rating scale can be found in the link above. The rated entity has not conducted any rating activity with regards to its rating. No part of the rating activities was outsourced.

### **ANALYST:**

Talha Iqbal  
Senior Rating Analyst  
011-250 2030  
[tiqbal@tassnief.com](mailto:tiqbal@tassnief.com)

### **ANALYST:**

Syed Fahim Haider, ACCA, CPA  
Rating Analyst  
011-250 2084  
[sfahim@tassnief.com](mailto:sfahim@tassnief.com)

# Safa Investment Company

## Entity Rating Report Contents

### 1. Rating Summary

- 1.1. TASSNIEF Opinion
- 1.2. Rating Rationale
- 1.3. Rating Triggers

September 2025

### Rating Summary

#### 1.1 TASSNIEF Opinion:

<i>Safa Investment Company</i>	
<i>Domicile</i>	<i>Saudi Arabia</i>
<i>Long-Term Rating</i>	<i>BBB+</i>
<i>Outlook</i>	<i>Stable</i>
<i>Short-Term Rating</i>	<i>T-3</i>
<i>Rating Watch</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Action Type</i>	<i>Maintained</i>
<i>Last Rating Action</i>	<i>January 2024</i>
<i>Rating type</i>	<i>Solicited</i>

Tassnief has maintained a long-term entity rating of “**BBB+**” (**Triple B plus**) and short-term entity rating of “**T-3**” of Safa Investment Company. The outlook on the rating is “Stable”.

## 1.2 TASSNIEF Rating Rationale:

The ratings assigned to Safa Investment (Safa) take into account its established track record and market position, real estate development model focused on efficient capital utilization, sizeable pipeline of projects, satisfactory operational diversity with multiple streams of revenue, and a seasoned management team. Geographic and segment concentration continues to remain high. The ratings incorporate sustained revenue growth, maintenance of satisfactory profit margins, and adherence to a conservative financial policy with an emphasis on efficient capital deployment. The capital structure remains low-leveraged despite a sizable project pipeline, as funding arrangements are primarily secured through the fund partnership model. However, the ratings are also constrained by inherent risks in the real estate sector, characterized by high cyclicalities, competitive intensity, and elevated execution and selling risks.

The governance framework is assessed as satisfactory, supported by active Board oversight, a formal internal audit function operating under a risk-based audit approach, sound project monitoring mechanisms, and documented policies and procedures. Further enhancements are anticipated during the current year, which are expected to result in strengthened regulatory oversight and improved Board effectiveness through the induction of independent directors and the enhanced functioning of Board-level committees.

Safa has strengthened its market positioning through a real estate development model focused on efficient capital deployment while pursuing a sustained revenue growth strategy by capitalizing on demand-supply gaps in the market. The Company has successfully expanded its project pipeline through strategic partnerships with real estate funds, a model that enables diversification of revenue streams while reducing equity exposure and working capital intensity. This approach has allowed Safa to broaden its development footprint across multiple locations with improved capital efficiency.

Operational diversity is considered satisfactory, with revenue derived from projects sales, development contracts, and the sale of housing units. Revenue visibility is supported by a sound project pipeline, reflecting continued growth in operational scale. However, the Company's geographic and segment diversification remains limited, with current activities concentrated in three cities and focused predominantly on real estate development. However, geographic and segment diversification remains limited, with the Company's activities concentrated in three cities and focused exclusively on real estate development.

The financial risk profile reflects continued revenue growth and gradual improvement in net margins over the past three years. Sustaining healthy net profitability over the assessment horizon will remain important to support internal capital generation and fund ongoing expansion. The Company's net working capital cycle has steadily improved, supported by the increasing share of fund-based development projects. Under this model, Safa leverages advance payments and monthly billing arrangements from partner funds to meet construction-related working capital needs, thereby enhancing cash flow predictability and reducing reliance on external financing.

Classification: [Restricted](#)

The growing use of fund partnerships has contributed to improved balance sheet discipline, reduced borrowing requirements, and greater efficiency in liquidity management. Liquidity cushion is assessed as satisfactory, with available cash and bank balances providing adequate near-term coverage for operational needs. Financial flexibility remains sound, underpinned by a low-leverage capital structure, adequate cash reserves, access to committed funding lines, and a development model that structurally lowers working capital intensity.

While the debt-to-FFO ratio has weakened due to a decline in funds from operations (FFO), debt levels have remained broadly stable. Some comfort is drawn from the alignment of debt repayment schedules with project-specific cash flow generation, which mitigates refinancing and liquidity risks. Although the Company does not have a formal dividend policy, no dividends have been distributed to date, reflecting a retained earnings strategy aimed at supporting business expansion and strengthening the equity base.

### **1.3 Rating Triggers**

The ratings are dependent on the successful implementation of ongoing initiatives to strengthen the governance framework. The ratings also remain dependent on further increasing the scale of operations & cash flow generation, improving geographic and segment diversity, and sustaining financial risk profile. Any significant increase in leverage indicators and resultant weakness in cash flow coverage may exert pressure on ratings.

## TASSNIEF's Long-term & Short-term Rating Scale



## Entity Rating Scale

Long-Term Rating Scale	Definitions
AAA	<b>Extremely Robust</b> ; Tassnief considers the rated issuer or issuance hold the <b>highest creditworthiness</b> , thus <b>negligible credit risk</b>
AA+ AA AA-	<b>Very Robust</b> ; Tassnief considers the rated issuer or issuance hold <b>very high creditworthiness</b> , thus <b>minimal credit risk</b> . Risk profile may vary slightly with changes in economic / sector conditions
A+ A A-	<b>Robust</b> ; Tassnief considers the rated issuer or issuance hold <b>high creditworthiness</b> , thus <b>very low credit risk</b> . Risk profile may vary with changes in economic / sector conditions
BBB+ BBB BBB-	<b>Moderate</b> ; Tassnief considers the rated issuer or issuance hold <b>adequate creditworthiness</b> , thus <b>low credit risk</b> . Risk profile may exhibit moderately high variation with changes in economic / sector conditions
BB+ BB BB-	Tassnief considers the rated issuer or issuance hold <b>low to moderate credit risk</b> . Risk profile may exhibit wide variation with changes in economic / sector conditions.
B+ B B-	Tassnief considers the rated issuer or issuance hold <b>very low creditworthiness</b> , thus <b>high credit risk</b>
CCC+ CCC CCC-	Tassnief considers the rated issuer or issuance hold <b>extremely low creditworthiness</b> , thus <b>very high credit risk</b>
CC C	<b>Highly speculative credit profile</b> , and the default is imminent
D	Tassnief considers the rated issuer or issuance have <b>defaulted or may default soon</b> .

\*\*\*\*End of Report\*\*\*\*